

IMM Quarterly Report Supplement: December Highlights and Other Issues

MISO Independent Market Monitor

David Patton, Ph.D. Potomac Economics

February 3, 2017





Highlights and Findings: December 2016

- Natural gas prices rose sharply -- 45 percent from November and 91 percent from last year -- leading to higher energy prices, RSG payments and congestion costs.
 - ✓ Real-time energy prices rose 25 and 47 percent over last month and last year.
- Higher gas prices led to disproportionate increases in RSG in December.
 - ✓ Day-ahead RSG increased to \$6.1 million, most of which was paid VLR resources in the South (\$5.3 million).
 - ✓ Conservative operations and emergency conditions were declared in the MISO South load pockets on a number of days early in December.
 - ✓ Real-time RSG increased more than 300 percent to \$7 million. An addition to the effects of higher gas prices, MISO also incurred more RSG to maintain adequate regional capacity in periods when the RDT constraint was binding.
- Real-time congestion nearly doubled from last year because of:
 - ✓ Higher fuel prices that increase the redispatch costs incurred to manage congestion; and
 - ✓ Constraints that were affected by transmission and generation outages.
- On December 7, MISO set a new wind peak at over 13.7 GW.





Summary of December 2016 – Dashboard

ally			ge '				Change 1	
		Prior	Prior			_	Prior	Prior
Val	ue	Mo.	Year			Value	Mo.	Year
\$3	1.31	25%	47%	FTR Funding (%)	•	100%	100%	99%
				Wind Output (MW/hr)		7,630	18%	37%
\$	3.63	50%	87%	Guarantee Payments (\$M) ⁴				
\$	3.57	45%	91%	Real-Time RSG	•	\$7.1	185%	303%
\$	0.67	2%	20%	Day-Ahead RSG	•	\$6.5	149%	83%
\$	1.57	4%	8%	Day-Ahead Margin Assurance	•	\$3.8	25%	32%
				Real-Time Offer Rev. Sufficiency		\$0.6	-34%	11%
	77.4	13%	9%	Price Convergence ⁵				
1	01.6	23%	16%	Market-wide DA Premium	•	0.6%	-0.3%	0.7%
98	.7%	97.7%	99.1%	Virtual Trading				
				Cleared Quantity (MW/hr)	•	11,940	-3%	9%
\$1:	26.1	38%	97%	% Price Insensitive	•	31%	27%	29%
\$	65.6	73%	53%	% Screened for Review		1%	0%	0%
	-\$.7	\$3.5	\$2.1	Profitability (\$/MW)	•	\$0.18	\$0.86	\$0.41
				Dispatch of Peaking Units (MW/hr)	•	755	558	505
\$1	0.46	18%	86%	Output Gap- Low Thresh. (MW/hr)	•	138	96	44
\$:	2.05	3%	87%	Other:				
\$	1.03	72%	145%					
	\$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$4 \$4 \$4 \$4 \$4 \$4 \$4 \$4 \$4 \$4 \$4 \$4 \$4	\$3.63 \$3.57 \$0.67 \$1.57 77.4 101.6 98.7% \$126.1 \$65.6	Value Mo. \$31.31 25% \$3.63 50% \$3.57 45% \$0.67 2% \$1.57 4% 77.4 13% 101.6 23% 98.7% 97.7% \$126.1 38% \$65.6 73% -\$.7 \$3.5 \$10.46 18% \$2.05 3%	Value Mo. Year \$31.31 25% 47% \$3.63 50% 87% \$3.57 45% 91% \$0.67 2% 20% \$1.57 4% 8% 77.4 13% 9% 101.6 23% 16% 98.7% 97.7% 99.1% \$126.1 38% 97% \$65.6 73% 53% -\$.7 \$3.5 \$2.1 \$10.46 18% 86% \$2.05 3% 87%	Value Mo. Year \$31.31 25% 47% FTR Funding (%) \$3.63 50% 87% Guarantee Payments (\$M)^4 \$3.57 45% 91% Real-Time RSG \$0.67 2% 20% Day-Ahead RSG \$1.57 4% 8% Day-Ahead Margin Assurance Real-Time Offer Rev. Sufficiency \$77.4 13% 9% Price Convergence ⁵ \$101.6 23% 16% Market-wide DA Premium Virtual Trading Cleared Quantity (MW/hr) \$126.1 38% 97% % Screened for Review \$65.6 73% 53% % Screened for Review \$10.46 18% 86% Profitability (\$/MW) Dispatch of Peaking Units (MW/hr) Output Gap- Low Thresh. (MW/hr) \$2.05 3% 87% Other:	Value Mo. Year \$31.31 25% 47% FTR Funding (%) Wind Output (MW/hr) \$3.63 50% 87% Guarantee Payments (\$M)^4 \$3.57 45% 91% Real-Time RSG • \$0.67 2% 20% Day-Ahead RSG • \$1.57 4% 8% Day-Ahead Margin Assurance Real-Time Offer Rev. Sufficiency • 77.4 13% 9% Price Convergence ⁵ Market-wide DA Premium • 98.7% 97.7% 99.1% Virtual Trading • Cleared Quantity (MW/hr) • % Screened for Review • \$65.6 73% 53% % Screened for Review • \$7.7 \$3.5 \$2.1 Profitability (\$/MW) • \$10.46 18% 86% Output Gap- Low Thresh. (MW/hr) • \$2.05 3% 87% Other:	Value Mo. Year Value \$31.31 25% 47% FTR Funding (%) 100% \$31.31 25% 47% FTR Funding (%) 100% \$3.63 50% 87% Guarantee Payments (\$M) ⁴ 7,630 \$3.57 45% 91% Real-Time RSG \$7.1 \$0.67 2% 20% Day-Ahead RSG \$6.5 \$1.57 4% 8% Day-Ahead Margin Assurance \$3.8 Real-Time Offer Rev. Sufficiency \$0.6 77.4 13% 9% Price Convergence ⁵ 101.6 23% 16% Market-wide DA Premium 0.6% 98.7% 97.7% 99.1% Virtual Trading 11,940 \$126.1 38% 97% % Price Insensitive 31% \$65.6 73% 53% % Screened for Review 1% -\$.7 \$3.5 \$2.1 Profitability (\$/MW) \$0.18 \$10.46 18% 86% Output Gap- Low Thresh. (MW/hr) 138 <	Value Prior Mo. Prior Value Mo. Prior Mo. \$31.31 25% 47% FTR Funding (%) Wind Output (MW/hr) 100% 100% \$3.63 50% 87% Guarantee Payments (\$M) ⁴ 7,630 18% \$3.57 45% 91% Real-Time RSG \$7.1 185% \$0.67 2% 20% Day-Ahead RSG \$6.5 149% \$1.57 4% 8% Day-Ahead Margin Assurance Real-Time Offer Rev. Sufficiency \$3.8 25% \$101.6 23% 16% Market-wide DA Premium 0.6% -0.3% \$8.7% 97.7% 99.1% Virtual Trading Cleared Quantity (MW/hr) \$11,940 -3% \$65.6 73% 53% % Screened for Review 1% 0% \$10.46 18% 86% Output Gap- Low Thresh. (MW/hr) \$138 96 \$2.05 3% 87% Other: \$100 100% 100%

Key:

Expected

Monitor/Discuss

Concern

- Notes: 1. Values not in italics are the value for the past period rather than the change.
 - 2. Comparisons adjusted for any change in membership.
 - 3. Net real-time congestion collection, unadjusted for M2M settlements.
 - 4. Includes effects of market power mitigation.
 - 5. Values include allocation of RSG.





Submittals to External Entities and Other Issues

- We responded to FERC questions related to prior referrals and continued to meet with FERC on a weekly basis to discuss market outcomes.
 - ✓ We responded to several data requests related to past referrals.
 - ✓ We made two new referrals of wind suppliers associated with inaccurate forecasts.
 - ✓ We recommended MISO to remove eligibility for price volatility make-whole payments from a resource we determined to be earning unjustified payments and referred the resource to FERC for failure to provide accurate offers.
 - ✓ We made referral of a partial pseudo-tied resource for failing to provide accurate offers.
- We met with an additional MISO transmission operator to consider participation in a program with MISO to extend use of dynamic ratings.
 - ✓ We will continue to work with MISO expand the use of more frequent rating updates and will be identifying high-value potential facilities.





Submittals to External Entities and Other Issues

- On December 15, FERC issued a Notice Of Proposed Rulemaking (NOPR) on pricing of fast start resources.
 - ✓ We will be filing comments and believe that this rulemaking supports MISO online pricing in its ELMP model.
 - ✓ However, we believe this NOPR does not support MISO offline pricing.
- On January 19, FERC issued NOPR on Uplift Cost Allocation and Transparency in RTOs.
 - ✓ MISO's uplift cost allocation is the model FERC is proposing in this NOPR, so MISO will likely have to make few changes to comply.
 - ✓ The transparency aspect of the NOPR focuses on transmission constraint penalty values (or "demand curves") and related operator actions. MISO's tariff and operating procedures are consistent with the NOPR.
- MISO made a compliance filing in the complaint docket filed by the Coalition of MISO Transmission Customers addressing facility specific reference levels and the transfer limit used in the Planning Resource Auction.
 - We filed an affidavit supporting the reference level provisions, but plan to file a protest on MISO's tariff language on the transfer limit (consistent with our State of the Market recommendation on this topic).



Submittals to External Entities and Other Issues

- MISO M2M constraints where PJM has most of the effective relief have been generating substantial real-time congestion.
 - ✓ These constraints accounted for more than \$20 million in real-time congestion in December. The constraints are being impacted by transmission outages and these outages are expected to continue until May 2017.
 - ✓ Similar issues have arisen with SPP and the most effective resolution is to transfer control of the constraint to the RTO with the most relief.
 - ✓ MISO is working to develop the software changes and agreements necessary to address these issues, which are likely to grow with the increase in pseudoties that are being implemented.
- We supported MISO's compliance filing on Technology-Specific Avoidable Costs (which can be used to develop reference levels for the PRA). We posted our TSAC values on the MISO web page on January 30.
- We supported MISO's filing of changes to Module D that is responsive to our PRA recommendations to: a) apply physical withholding tests to affiliates jointly, and b) exclude of demand response resources from mitigation.





List of Acronyms

•	AMP	Automated Mitigation Procedures	•	PITT	Pseudo-Tie Issues Task Team
•	BCA	Broad Constrained Area	•	PRA	Planning Resource Auction
•	CDD	Cooling Degree Days	•	PVMWP	Price Volatility Make Whole
•	CMC	Constraint Management Charge			Payment
•	DAMAP	Day-Ahead Margin Assurance	•	RAC	Resource Adequacy Construct
		Payment	•	RDT	Regional Directional Transfer
•	DDC	Day-Ahead Deviation & Headroom	•	RSG	Revenue Sufficiency Guarantee
		Charge	•	RTORSGI	PReal-Time Offer Revenue
•	DIR	Dispatchable Intermittent Resource			Sufficiency Guarantee Payment
•	ELMP	Enhanced Locational Marginal Price	•	SMP	System Marginal Price
•	HDD	Heating Degree Days	•	SOM	State of the Market
•	JCM	Joint and Common Market Initiative	•	SRPBC	Sub-Regional Power Balance
•	JOA	Joint Operating Agreement			Constraint
•	LAC	Look-Ahead Commitment	•	TLR	Transmission Line Loading
•	LSE	Load-Serving Entities			Relief
•	M2M	Market-to-Market	•	TCDC	Transmission Constraint
•	MSC	MISO Market Subcommittee			Demand Curve
•	NCA	Narrow Constrained Area	•	VCA	Voluntary Capacity Auction
•	ORCA	Operations Reliability Coordination	•	VLR	Voltage and Local Reliability
		Agreement	•	WPP	Weekly Procurement Process
•	ORDC	Operating Reserve Demand Curve	•	WUMS	Wisconsin Upper Michigan
© 201	7 Potomac Econ	nomics - 7 -			System <u>ECONOMICS</u>