

# IMM Quarterly Report: Fall 2021

MISO Independent Market Monitor

David Patton, Ph.D. Potomac Economics

January 27, 2022



# **Highlights and Findings: Fall 2021**

- The MISO markets performed competitively this fall, market power mitigation was infrequent, and conduct was competitive overall.
- Gas prices more than doubled over last fall, impacting multiple market areas.
  - Energy and ancillary service prices also more than doubled.
  - Real-time RSG grew 149 percent because of higher gas costs and real-time commitments. Fuel prices also led to relatively high day-ahead RSG levels.
- Average and peak load increased by 5 and 2 percent compared to last year.
  - Cooling degree days increased by 90 percent this quarter, while heating degree days fell by 68 percent.
- Average hourly wind output continued to grow, rising by 6 percent compared to last year, and set a new output record of 21.7 GW on November 12.
- Real-time congestion more than doubled from last year to more than threequarters of a billion dollars because of high gas prices and high wind output.
  - ✓ Approximately half of real-time congestion was attributable to wind output.
  - ✓ One particular wind-impacted constraint accrued \$80 million in real-time congestion, which could be mitigated by network reconfiguration.





#### **Quarterly Summary**

	Chan	ige <sup>1</sup>				Chan	ge <sup>1</sup>
-	Prior	Prior			-	Prior	Prior
 Value	Qtr.	Year			Value	Qtr.	Year
\$50.56	31%	131%	FTR Funding (%)		96%	105%	95%
			Wind Output (MW/hr)	0	10,175	63%	6%
\$4.99	40%	138%	<b>Guarantee Payments (\$M)<sup>4</sup></b>				
\$5.18	41%	130%	Real-Time RSG	•	\$25.3	-43%	149%
\$1.40	94%	109%	Day-Ahead RSG	9	\$20.0	30%	-49%
\$3.18	83%	155%	Day-Ahead Margin Assurance	٩	\$10.8	-2%	77%
			Real-Time Offer Rev. Sufficiency	•	\$1.6	47%	191%
71.9	-16%	5%	Price Convergence <sup>5</sup>				
98.5	-18%	2%	Market-wide DA Premium	٩	-1.8%	0.0%	1.7%
98.1%	98.7%	99.0%	Virtual Trading				
			Cleared Quantity (MW/hr)	9	19,277	24%	-22%
\$772.8	79%	121%	% Price Insensitive	9	48%	44%	24%
\$501.5	72%	145%	% Screened for Review	9	3%	2%	1%
\$21.0	\$3.7	-\$1.1	Profitability (\$/MW)	9	\$1.14	\$0.74	\$0.83
			Dispatch of Peaking Units (MW/hr)	٩	1,302	2188	776
\$16.61	45%	80%	Output Gap- Low Thresh. (MW/hr)	9	688	209	176
\$3.96	18%	118%	Other:				
\$0.79	-33%	183%					
Notes:	1. Values	s not in ita	lics are the values for the past period rather that	an the	e change.		
			* *		C		
	3. Net rea	al-time co	ngestion collection, unadjusted for M2M settle	ement	s.		
	4. Include	es effects	of market power mitigation.				
	<ul> <li>\$50.56</li> <li>\$4.99</li> <li>\$5.18</li> <li>\$1.40</li> <li>\$3.18</li> <li>71.9</li> <li>98.5</li> <li>98.1%</li> <li>\$772.8</li> <li>\$501.5</li> <li>\$21.0</li> <li>\$16.61</li> <li>\$3.96</li> <li>\$0.79</li> <li>Notes:</li> </ul>	Value         Prior           Value         Qtr.           \$50.56         31%           \$50.56         31%           \$50.56         31%           \$51.8         41%           \$1.40         94%           \$1.40         94%           \$1.40         94%           \$1.40         94%           \$1.40         94%           \$3.18         83%           71.9         -16%           98.5         -18%           98.1%         98.7%           \$772.8         79%           \$501.5         72%           \$21.0         \$3.7           \$16.61         45%           \$3.96         18%           \$0.79         -33%           Notes:         1. Values           2. Compa         3. Net ret	Value         Qtr.         Year           •         \$50.56         31%         131%           •         \$4.99         40%         138%           •         \$5.18         41%         130%           •         \$1.40         94%         109%           •         \$1.40         94%         109%           •         \$1.40         94%         109%           •         \$1.40         94%         109%           •         \$1.40         94%         109%           •         \$1.40         94%         109%           •         \$1.40         94%         109%           •         \$1.40         94%         109%           •         \$1.40         94%         109%           •         \$1.40         94%         109%           •         \$1.40         94%         2%           •         \$1.8%         2%         98.7%           •         \$772.8         79%         121%           •         \$16.61         45%         80%           •         \$16.61         45%         80%           •         \$0.79         -33%         1	Prior         Prior         Value         Qtr.         Year           •         \$50.56 $31\%$ $131\%$ FTR Funding (%)           •         \$50.56 $31\%$ $131\%$ FTR Funding (%)           •         \$50.56 $31\%$ $138\%$ Guarantee Payments (\$M) <sup>4</sup> •         \$51.8 $41\%$ $130\%$ Real-Time RSG           •         \$1.40 $94\%$ $109\%$ Day-Ahead RSG           •         \$3.18 $83\%$ $155\%$ Day-Ahead Margin Assurance           •         \$1.40 $94\%$ $109\%$ Day-Ahead Margin Assurance           •         \$1.40 $94\%$ $109\%$ Day-Ahead Margin Assurance           •         \$1.40 $94\%$ $109\%$ Day-Ahead Margin Assurance           •         \$1.6\% $5\%$ Price Convergence <sup>5</sup> • $98.7\%$ $99.0\%$ Virtual Trading           •         \$121\%         % Screened for Review           •         \$21.0         \$3.7         -\$1.1           •         \$16.61 $45\%$ $80\%$ •	Prior         Prior           Value         Qtr.         Year           S50.56 $31\%$ $131\%$ FTR Funding (%)         Image: Constraint of the past period rather than the consthere past period rather than the constraint of the pa	PriorPriorPriorValueValueQtr.YearValue\$50.56 $31\%$ $131\%$ FTR Funding (%)96%Wind Output (MW/hr)10175\$4.99 $40\%$ $138\%$ Guarantee Payments (\$M) <sup>4</sup> \$5.18 $41\%$ $130\%$ Real-Time RSG\$25.3\$1.40 $94\%$ $109\%$ Day-Ahead RSG\$20.0\$3.18 $83\%$ $155\%$ Day-Ahead Margin Assurance\$10.883.18 $83\%$ $155\%$ Day-Ahead Margin Assurance\$11.671.9 $-16\%$ $5\%$ Price Convergence <sup>5</sup> 98.5 $-18\%$ $2\%$ Market-wide DA Premium $-1.8\%$ 98.1%98.7%99.0%Virtual TradingCleared Quantity (MW/hr) $19,277$ $48\%$ \$501.5 $72\%$ $145\%$ % Screened for Review $3\%$ \$21.0\$3.7 $-$1.1$ Profitability (\$/MW) $$1.14$ 98.39.6 $18\%$ $118\%$ Output Gap- Low Thresh. (MW/hr) $688$ \$3.96 $18\%$ $118\%$ Other:1. Values not in italics are the values for the past period rather than the change. $2.$ Comparisons adjusted for any change in membership.3. Net real-time congestion collection, unadjusted for M2M settlements.	PriorPriorPriorValueQtr.YearValueQtr. $\$$ \$50.56 $31\%$ $131\%$ FTR Funding (%) $\textcircled{0}$ 96%105% $\$$ \$4.99 $40\%$ $138\%$ Guarantee Payments (\$M) <sup>4</sup> $\textcircled{0}$ 10,175 $63\%$ $\$$ \$5.18 $41\%$ $130\%$ Real-Time RSG $\textcircled{0}$ \$25.3 $-43\%$ $\$$ \$1.40 $94\%$ $109\%$ Day-Ahead RSG $\textcircled{0}$ \$20.0 $30\%$ $\$$ \$3.18 $83\%$ $155\%$ Day-Ahead Margin Assurance $\clubsuit$ \$10.8 $-2\%$ $\$$ $83.18$ $83\%$ $155\%$ Day-Ahead Margin Assurance $\clubsuit$ \$10.8 $-2\%$ $\$$ $98.5$ $-18\%$ $2\%$ Market-wide DA Premium $\bullet$ $-1.8\%$ $0.0\%$ $\$$ $98.5$ $-18\%$ $2\%$ Market-wide DA Premium $\bullet$ $-1.8\%$ $0.0\%$ $\$$ $98.7\%$ $99.0\%$ Virtual Trading $\bullet$ $-1.8\%$ $0.0\%$ $\$$ $$772.8$ $79\%$ $121\%$ $\%$ Screened for Review $\textcircled{0}$ $3\%$ $2\%$ $\$$ $$501.5$ $72\%$ $145\%$ $\%$ Screened for Review $\textcircled{0}$ $3\%$ $2\%$ $\$$ $$16.61$ $45\%$ $80\%$ Output Gap- Low Thresh. (MW/hr) $\r{0}$ $1,302$ $218\%$ $\$$ $$1.6\%$ $18\%$ $18\%$ Other: $\r{0}$ $\r{0}$ $\r{0}$ $\$$ $$1.8\%$ $18\%$ $18\%$ $0$ $\r{0}$ $\r{0}$ $\r{0}$ $\$$ <

5. Values include allocation of RSG.



\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

-3-



#### High Gas Prices and Market Impacts (Slides 12-14, 18, 22-23)

- Gas prices and corresponding energy prices more than doubled over last year.
  - ✓ Natural gas storage inventories fell below average levels during the February Arctic Blast and have remained lower than average this year.
  - ✓ Gas prices began climbing from the spring and into the summer because of higher gas demand for electricity and rising LNG exports.
  - Hurricane Ida in late summer had large impacts on offshore gas production, contributing to a sharper increase in natural gas prices.

The higher gas prices this quarter affected market outcomes in multiple areas:

- ✓ Real-time energy prices increased by more than 130 percent;
- ✓ The ancillary service prices for spin and supplemental reserves rose 118 and 183 percent, respectively, while regulation prices rose 80 percent;
- ✓ Day-ahead congestion rose nearly 150 percent over last fall;
- Real-time congestion more than doubled over last fall as the costs of redispatching the system to manage constraints increased significantly; and
- Real-time RSG increased 149 percent over last fall, although some of the increases were attributable to conservative commitment practices.





#### **Increased Coal Output, Coal Limitations, and Net Revenues (Slide 17)**

- Much higher gas prices made coal resources relatively more economic, resulting in higher output and capacity factors starting in the summer months.
  - ✓ Net revenues (the margin earned over a unit's production costs) increased from roughly \$3 per MWh in prior years to \$14 per MWh after June in 2021.
  - ✓ From June to October, coal generation rose 23 percent over last year.
- Despite higher net revenues, coal unit utilization fell during the fall as a number of resources have experienced substantial input limitations.
  - Coal is typically transported via railroads -- multiple railroads were impacted by labor shortages and other issues in meeting the surge in demand for coal.
  - ✓ Some coal facilities were impacted by limitations in other supplies, as higher demand drove up costs and reduced the availability of necessary inputs.
- A number of coal facilities have implemented coal conservation measures, reducing their capacity factors during the late fall and likely into the winter.
  - ✓ We are working with these resource owners to ensure reference levels reflect the opportunity costs associated with maintaining winter coal inventory.
  - This is essential to avoid imposing market power mitigation inappropriately.

#### **Higher Quarterly Congestion (Slides 18-19)**

- Real-time congestion doubled over last year to total \$773 million, and a significant portion of this was driven by wind-related constraints.
  - ✓ Higher natural gas prices contributed to the increase because higher gas costs increase the marginal costs of moving generation to manage system flows.
  - ✓ Wind-related congestion continued to grow as 2260 MW of new wind units entered MISO since Dec. 2020, leading to a 6 percent increase in wind output.
- One wind-impacted constraint accrued 10 percent of all congestion.
  - $\checkmark$  13 new wind units put additional forward flows on the constraint.
  - One key coal unit that could relieve the constraint retired in June and another experienced supply chain issues limiting its ability to generate its full output throughout the quarter.
  - ✓ A reliable and economically efficient reconfiguration exists to substantially reduce this congestion but has rarely been utilized.
  - ✓ \$45 million in FTR shortfalls were attributable to the constraint as an outage was rescheduled after the FTR auction, causing MISO to oversell the FTRs.
  - The TO is currently upgrading a transmission facility, which will reduce congestion associated with this constraint in the future.





#### Higher Congestion and Transmission Utilization Opportunities (Slides 20-21)

- Increases in congestion amplify the value of improving transmission utilization.
- We have recommended several improvements to increase utilization:
  - ✓ Expanding the use of Ambient-Adjusted Ratings and Emergency Ratings.
  - Proactively validating ratings to ensure that ratings reflect the full reliable capabilities of the transmission facility.
  - ✓ Develop a process to identify and implement grid reconfigurations to economically mitigate congestion and to address reliability concerns.
  - Develop processes to promote and utilize economic grid-enhancing technologies.
- MISO and the TOs have been working since late 2020 to implement a framework to utilize AARs on more transmission facilities.
  - The TOs initially evaluated facilities with substantial congestion over prior two years, which included roughly 500 transmission elements.



#### Higher Congestion and Transmission Utilization Opportunities (Slides 20-21)

Our initial assessment of the TO AARs program included in this report shows:

- Most of the AAR benefits being achieved are on the 116 constraints being adjusted prior to the program.
  - ✓ Congestion has only occurred on 23 facilities added under the program.
- Almost \$100 million in benefits were on elements that the TOs asserted are not be adjustable.
  - $\checkmark$  This is reasonable for \$10 million of the benefits.
  - The balance is primarily on transformers that we believe could often be adjustable. A small amount is on recently upgraded elements.
- The largest untapped benefits are in using emergency ratings (\$29 million).
- A more dynamic evaluation of potential new elements would be valuable:
  - ✓ The number of elements "not evaluated" grew sharply throughout 2021 as new transmission elements began binding.
  - ✓ Only relying on past congestion to identify elements to evaluate for AARs will cause the process to not capture some of the available benefits.

-8-



#### High RSG Payments and Real-Time Commitments (Slides 23)

- Real-time RSG more than doubled over last year and day-ahead RSG was also relatively high.
  - Higher gas prices increased generators' costs and led to higher RSG costs.
  - $\checkmark$  Increases in supplemental commitments also contributed to the higher costs.
- MISO incurred over 20 percent of real-time RSG the first 8 days of October.
  - ✓ Unseasonably warm temperatures and low wind were contributing factors.
  - ✓ Oct 3: a large load forecasting error led to high real-time commitments.
  - ✓ Oct 4: MISO declared a Max Gen Alert, incurring over \$2 million in RSG.
- Excluding the restoration-related payments that occurred last year after Hurricane Laura, day-ahead RSG also more than doubled over last fall.
- We continue to examine drivers of large RSG and work closely with MISO to communicate our findings for process improvements. This quarter:
  - ✓ We estimated that roughly 20 percent of the real-time RSG was associated with commitments that were ultimately needed.
  - ✓ Some of the remaining 80 percent of real-time RSG appeared to be needed at the time the commitments were made because of forecast errors, while a large portion could be reduced by improving the commitment process.

© 2021 Potomac Economics

-9-



#### **Submittals to External Entities and Other Issues**

- We responded to several FERC questions related to prior referrals and FERC investigations, and we responded to requests for information on market issues.
- We filed initial and reply comments in the FERC ANOPR on Transmission Planning, as well as participating in the related technical conference.
- We also participated in a FERC Technical Conference on GETs.
- In September, we presented our Summer Quarterly Report to the Market Subcommittee, and we presented a response to a Board Action item related to observations on undefined M2M constraints during the Feb. Arctic Event.
- In November, we presented a report on market results to the ERSC.
- We continued working with MISO and others on:
  - ✓ Finalizing provisions that will be proposed in the upcoming RAN filing.
  - ✓ Promoting AARs and the use of Emergency Ratings.
  - Developing processes to identify and implement reconfigurations that can reliably reduce congestion costs.
  - Reviewing the revised proposal for allocating Firm Flow Entitlements (transmission property rights).



#### Day-Ahead Average Monthly Hub Prices Fall 2019–2021



## All-In Price Fall 2020 – 2021



MICS

# Ancillary Service Prices Fall 2020–2021



# MISO Fuel Prices 2020 - 2021



© 2021 Potomac Economics

# Load and Weather Patterns Fall 2019–2021



<u>Notes</u>: Midwest degree day calculations include four reprentative cities: Indianapolis, Detroit, Milwaukee and Minneapolis. The South region includes Little Rock and New Orleans. \*Effects estimated by MISO through back-casting using its load forecasting model.





# Capacity, Energy and Price Setting Share Fall, 2020 - 2021

-		U	nforced Ca	pacity		Energy	Output	Price Setting					
	Fall	Total (	(MW)	Share	Share (%)		e (%)	SMP	(%)	LMP (%)			
		2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021		
	Nuclear	12,107	11,866	9%	9%	16%	16%	0%	0%	1%	0%		
	Coal	46,864	46,740	37%	36%	35%	37%	40%	26%	83%	59%		
~	Natural Gas	56,673	58,431	44%	45%	31%	30%	57%	73%	95%	95%		
P P	Oil	1,568	1,636	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
A	Hydro	4,034	3,671	3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%		
	Wind	3,660	4,304	3%	3%	16%	15%	1%	0%	72%	67%		
	Other	2,703	3,145	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%	6%	6%		
	Total	127,608	129,794										



# Capacity Factors By Fuel Type 2020–2021



# Value of Real-Time Congestion Fall 2020–2021



# Day-Ahead Congestion, Balancing Congestion and FTR Underfunding



© 2021 Potomac Economics

### Benefits of Ambient-Adjusted and Emergency Ratings Fall 2020–2021

		Savi	ngs (\$ Millions	- # of Facilites		
	Fall	Ambient Adj. Ratings	Emergency Ratings	Total	for 2/3 of Savings	Share of Congestion
2020	Midwest	\$19.0	\$12.94	\$31.9	16	10.1%
	South	\$1.9	\$3.06	\$5.0	1	12.4%
	Total	\$20.9	\$16.0	\$36.9	17	10.3%
2021	Midwest	\$51.1	\$32.97	\$84.1	21	10.9%
	South	\$0.1	\$1.71	\$1.8	2	6.9%
	Total	\$51.2	\$34.7	\$85.9	23	10.7%



© 2021 Potomac Economics

# Benefits of AARs and Emergency Ratings Winter 2020 – Fall 2021



# Day-Ahead RSG Payments Fall 2020–2021



© 2021 Potomac Economics

...............

-22-

# Real-Time RSG Payments Fall 2020–2021



# Real-Time Hourly Inter-Regional Flows Fall 2021



# Wind Output in Real Time Daily Range and Average



# Day-Ahead and Real-Time Price Convergence Fall 2020–2021



-26-

### Day-Ahead Peak Hour Load Scheduling Fall 2020–2021



# Virtual Load and Supply Fall 2020–2021



# Virtual Load and Supply by Participant Type Fall 2020–2021



# Virtual Profitability Fall 2020–2021



© 2021 Potomac Economics

-30-

# Generation Outages and Deratings Fall 2020–2021

	70%				Fall M	onthl <del>.</del>	Average		2019			2020			2021		
					Fall M	onuny	Average	Mi	dwest	South	Mic	lwest	South	Midv	vest	South	
	60%				Force	d: Lon	g-Term	4	.5%	3.1%	4.	9%	3.7%	4.8	%	3.6%	
					Force	d: Sho	rt-Term	1	.4%	1.2%	1.	6%	1.5%	2.0	%	1.0%	
						-	in CROW	6	.0%	11.0%	5.	0%	12.0%	7.2	%	12.4%	
	50%	Unplanned:						3	.7%	1.4%	4.	1%	3.5%	3.4	.4%	1.8%	
>							tensions	1	.9%	1.3%	1.	9%	1.1%	1.4	%	1.1%	
	40%		Planned: No				rmal	11	1.8%	15.2% 1		.0%	16.4%	14.9	9%	18.6%	
ha I	40 /0				Total		-	29	9.3%	33.3%	32	.4%	38.1%	33.7	7%	38.5%	
onare of Capacity	30%																
	20%																
	10% 0%																
	070	Fall	Win	Spr	Sum	Fall	Fall	Win	Spr	Sum	Fall	Fall	Win	Spr	Sum	Fall	
		2020		20	021		2020		2021			2020		2021			
				l	Outage					Derate							
000	1 Dotomo	Г					-31-								P	OTOM	

ECON

**ATCS** 

#### Price Volatility Make Whole Payments Fall 2020–2021



# Day-Ahead and Real-Time Ramp Up Price Fall 2020–2021



-33-

# Coordinated Transaction Scheduling (CTS) Fall 2020–2021



© 2021 Potomac Economics

-34-

# Monthly Output Gap Fall 2020–2021



-35-

# Day-Ahead And Real-Time Energy Mitigation Fall 2020 and 2021



-36-

### **Day-Ahead and Real-Time RSG Mitigation** Fall 2019 - 2021



© 2021 Potomac Economics

#### **List of Acronyms**

- AAR Ambient-Adjusted Ratings
- AMP Automated Mitigation Procedures
- BCA Broad Constrained Area
- CDD Cooling Degree Days
- CMC Constraint Management Charge
- CTS Coordinated Transaction Scheduling
- DAMAP Day-Ahead Margin Assurance Payment
- DDC Day-Ahead Deviation & Headroom Charge
- DIR Dispatchable Intermittent Resource
- HDD Heating Degree Days
- ELMP Extended Locational Marginal Price
- JCM Joint and Common Market Initiative
- JOA Joint Operating Agreement
- LAC Look-Ahead Commitment
- LSE Load-Serving Entities
- M2M Market-to-Market
- MSC MISO Market Subcommittee
- NCA Narrow Constrained Area

- ORDC Operating Reserve Demand Curve
- PITT Pseudo-Tie Issues Task Team
- PRA Planning Resource Auction
- PVMWP Price Volatility Make Whole Payment
- RAC Resource Adequacy Construct
  - RDT Regional Directional Transfer
- RSG Revenue Sufficiency Guarantee
- RTORSGP Real-Time Offer Revenue
  - Sufficiency Guarantee Payment
  - STE Short-Term Emergency
  - SMP System Marginal Price
    - SOM State of the Market
  - TLR Transmission Loading Relief
  - TCDC Transmission Constraint Demand Curve
  - VLR Voltage and Local Reliability
  - WUMS Wisconsin Upper Michigan System



© 2021 Potomac Economics